

From: YouTube Copyright youtube-disputes+2wthnuslj0qfp0h@google.com 
Subject: Re: [FOOUQ2PBAPH35VCJVFR6BTZ5BE] New copyright counter notification
Date: 20 August 2022 at 5:40 pm
To: gordon@getmail.com.au

YC



Dear Sun Coast Florists,

Thank you for your counter notification. It has been forwarded to the party that sent the takedown notification.

Keep in mind that by submitting this counter notification, you've initiated a formal legal dispute process. As such, YouTube will handle this process in accordance with the law. This process takes some time, so we kindly ask for your patience.

Upon forwarding your counter notification to the claimant, we will allow them 10–14 business days from this date to respond with evidence that they have taken court action against you to prevent the reinstatement of the video(s) in question.

If we receive no response, after that time period your videos will be restored and the associated penalties on your account will be resolved.

You will receive updates in this email thread about your counter notification's status. You can also check its status within your [Video Manager](#). Though no response is required from you, please respond directly to this message should you choose to provide us with any further information.

- The YouTube Team

Counter notification as follows:

Videos included in counter notification:

- <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eslgmtHrKbg>

Display name of uploader: Sun Coast Florists

I refer to the AUSTRALIAN COPYRIGHT ACT 1968 at:

http://www5.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/consol_act/ca1968133/ 1. The video was obtained from YouTube for the Purpose of a Judicial Proceeding and is published for the purpose of a Judicial Proceeding.

Section 104 of the Act provides: Acts done for purposes of judicial proceeding. A copyright subsisting by virtue of this Part is not infringed by anything done: (a) for the purpose of a judicial proceeding or a report of a judicial proceeding; 2. The video is also published for the purpose of criticism and review.

Section 103A of the Act provides: Fair dealing for purpose of criticism or review. A fair dealing with an audio-visual item does not constitute an infringement of the copyright in the item or in any work or other audio-visual item included in the item if it is for the purpose of criticism or review, whether of the first-mentioned audio-visual item, another audio-visual item or a work, and a sufficient acknowledgement of the first-mentioned audio-visual item is made. Detail of the Judicial Proceeding is at:

https://flowerhub.review/QCAT_SERVICE.pdf

I swear, under penalty of perjury, that I have a good-faith belief that the material was removed due to a mistake or misidentification of the material to be removed or disabled.

I consent to the jurisdiction of the Federal District Court for the district in which my address is located or, if my address is outside the United States, the judicial district in which YouTube is located, and will accept service of process from the claimant.

Gordon James Craven

Gordon James Craven
8 Musa Place
Aroona Queensland 4551
Australia

gordon@getmail.com.au

0478 598 861

[Help Centre](#) • [Email options](#)

You have received this email to provide information and updates around your YouTube channel or account.



© 2021 [Google LLC d/b/a YouTube, 901 Cherry Ave, San Bruno, CA 94066](#)